

1
2
3 WILLIAM M. JONES,
4
5 Petitioner,
6
7 v.
8
9 WARREN L. MONTGOMERY,
10 Respondent.

Case No. 23-cv-02143-JD

ORDER OF TRANSFER

11
12 This is a habeas case filed pro se by a state prisoner. Petitioner challenges the denial by
13 the Board of Parole Hearings of his request to advance his next parole hearing. Venue for a
14 habeas action is proper in either the district of confinement or the district of conviction, 28 U.S.C.
15 § 2241(d). If the petition is directed to the manner in which a sentence is being executed, e.g., if it
16 involves parole or time credits claims, the district of confinement is the preferable forum. *See*
17 Habeas L.R. 2254-3(b)(2); *Dunne v. Henman*, 875 F.2d 244, 249 (9th Cir. 1989). Petitioner was
18 convicted in Alameda County which is in this district. But the Board of Parole Hearings denial
19 occurred at Calipatria State Prison, where petitioner is still incarcerated. That facility is in
20 Imperial County, in the Southern District of California. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 84(d).

21 Consequently, the case is transferred to the United States District Court for the Southern
22 District of California. *See* 28 U.S.C. §§ 1404(a), 2241(d); Habeas L.R. 2254-3.

23 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

24 Dated: June 14, 2023

25
26
27 JAMES DONATO
28 United States District Judge

